



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1950  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,122	09/28/2001	Jerlyn R. Culp	10017934-1	4439

7590 09/13/2005  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER	
LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2161	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Advisory Action</b> <b>Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/966,122	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CULP ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Etienne P. LeRoux	<b>Art Unit</b> 2161	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) ☐ The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5-11, 14-17 and 20-30.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).


10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet.

12. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8/11/2005

13. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

  
**MOHAMMAD ALI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1 and 20:

Brandenberg discloses:

an interface configured to receive a calendar source list [tabular representation of digital content, Fig 1I, paragraphs 652 and 653] and a calendar database generated by a first party, the calendar source list comprising:

type [Brandenberg, type 371 - Fig 1I, paragraph 380], identification [Brandenberg, digital content ID - Fig 1I, 365], format source identifier [HTML, paragraph 261]

a native format [paragraph 557]

a delivery method [broadcast or narrowcast, paragraph 264]

wherein a source includes information compiled by an organization-of-interest to the first party [Table 1I, 397, user's soccer team, paragraph 657]

a collection and distribution unit [HTML/XML server 127, Fig 1B, paragraph 261] for accessing the source and retrieving calendar data in accordance with said calendar source list via said interface

Brandenberg discloses the elements of the invention as noted above but does not disclose logic configured to incorporate retrieved calendar data into the calendar database associated with the first party to generate an updated calendar database, and configured to deliver the updated calendar database to the first party in accordance with information residing in the calendar source list. Fishman discloses logic configured to incorporate retrieved calendar data into the calendar database associated with the first party to generate an updated calendar database, and configured to deliver the updated calendar database to the first party in accordance with information residing in the calendar source list [Fig 4, paragraph 33]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brandenberg to include logic configured to incorporate retrieved calendar data into the calendar database associated with the first party to generate an updated calendar database, and configured to deliver the updated calendar database to the first party in accordance with information residing in the calendar source list as taught by Fishman for the purpose of inviting a person to an event scheduled by an other user of the network system. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify Brandenberg per the above such that a visual record of an invitation can be produced on the invitee's handheld digital device.

Furthermore, Fishman discloses the calendar database comprising information reflecting the schedule of the first party [Fishman, Fig 6]